IN THE MATTER OF: CHRISTIAN COUNTY ZONING ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS HEARING JULY 29, 2024 21 Court Reporter: Rhonda Rhodes Bentley, CSR License No. 084-002706 22 Area Wide Reporting Service 800-747-6789

CHRISTIAN COUNTY 1 ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS 2 JULY 29, 2024 1:00 P.M. 3 4 ZONING BOARD: 5 Ms. Adrian Adcock, Chairman 6 Mr. Craig Berner 7 Mr. David Copenbarger 8 Mr. Len Corzine 9 Mr. Joe Dorr 10 Mr. Glen Goodrich 11 Ms. Jo Ann Howard 12 Ms. Nichole Lanham 13 14 ALSO PRESENT: 15 Mr. Blake Tarr 16 Ms. Mary Barry, Assistant State's Attorney 17 Mr. David DeJaynes 18 Ms. Theresa E. DeJaynes 19 Mr. Mark Harris Ms. Sharon Harris 20 21 Mr. Larry Goebel Mr. Larry Tolliver 22 23 Mr. Matt Parks

24

Mr. Nick Ripkey

1	1:00 p.m.
2	CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: I'd like to call
3	the meeting to order. The first set of business
4	do a roll call, please, Blake.
5	MR. TARR: Sure. Craig Berner.
6	MR. BERNER: Here.
7	MR. TARR: Dave Copenbarger.
8	MR. COPENBARGER: Here.
9	MR. TARR: Len Corzine.
10	MR. CORZINE: Here.
11	MR. TARR: Adrian Adcock.
12	CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Here.
13	MR. TARR: Joe Dorr.
14	MR. DORR: Here.
15	MR. TARR: Glen Goodrich.
16	MR. GOODRICH: Here.
17	MR. TARR: Joann Howard.
18	Nichole Lanham.
19	MS. LANHAM: Here.
20	MR. TARR: We do have a quorum.
21	Thank you.
22	CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Okay. So can we
23	have a motion to accept the minutes from the June
24	27, 2024, meeting that was held at 1 p.m.?

MR. COPENBARGER: Dave Copenbarger. 1 I'll make a motion to accept those minutes of 2 3 that meeting. CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Okay. 4 5 MR. DORR: Joe Dorr. Second. 6 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Okay. 7 MR. CORZINE: Len Corzine. CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Yes. 8 9 MR. CORZINE: A couple of corrections 10 in the text. On page 8 line 20, 21, and 22, I 11 don't have -- I have to bring that back up. It's 12 in Assumption, Illinois, the day care. CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Okay. The line 13 14 reads: I'm involved in restoring a day care in 15 Assumption with our church in Taylorville. 16 MR. CORZINE: Yes, it says Assumption 17 -- church in Assumption. 18 And then it's not restoring it. It will be a new day care. I think that's a couple 19 20 lines up. Right. 21 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: That's correct. 22 I'm involved in a new day care -- how this 23 reads -- in Assumption with our church in 24 Assumption.

Any other items? 1 2 Item on page 10, line 22, Ms. Lanham 3 was speaking. She said: Is the State responsible for regulations and things like and 4 it says back, it should be setbacks. 5 6 And then on line 12 -- or sorry -- on page 12, line 12, it says that -- Mr. Tarr says 7 the first looks like it was on the opposed side. 8 We're missing the word opposed on line 12. 9 10 That's all I had. Does anyone else 11 have anything? 12 Okay. So may I have someone amend 13 the motion please? 14 MR. COPENBARGER: Dave Copenbarger. I make a motion that we approve the minutes with 15 16 the amendments that were just stated. 17 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Thank you. Is 18 there a second? 19 MR. DORR: I'll second. 20 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Mr. Dorr. Okay. 21 All those in favor? 22 ZBA BOARD MEMBERS: Aye. 23 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: All those opposed? 24 (No response.)

CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Motion carries. 1 All right. So this afternoon we are 2 3 going to have the continuation of the Zoning Special Use Application for Mark Harris. 4 5 As a reminder, we had several open 6 items at the end of that meeting. One item was 7 that there was a request for Mr. Harris to 8 provide a plan denoting the parking lot, the driveway, the building, etc. 9 10 There was concerns about the one lane 11 bridge, and so we were going to get assessment 12 from Mr. Frye. 13 Also, we were looking for the City of 14 Morrisonville about their stance as well as addressing the sidewalk, the bridge, the street 15 16 width. There were also concerns from the public 17 about from the assessor's office whether or not 18 there would be any change to property values or 19 taxes. 20 So, Mr. Harris, are you here? 21 MR. HARRIS: Yes. 22 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Okay. Would you 23 please come forward and be sworn in. Spell your 24 name for Rhonda.

1 MR. HARRIS: Mark Harris, M-a-r-k H-a-r-r-i-s, and I submitted the plans a couple, 2 3 three weeks ago. CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Yeah. This is the 4 5 plan. 6 Does anyone from the Board need a copy of the plan or you have it in your packet? 7 8 Yes. 9 (Oath given.) 10 MARK HARRIS, 11 having first been duly sworn, testifies as 12 follows: MR. HARRIS: So this is a -- a rough 13 14 concept. The building won't be any bigger and maybe it's smaller. My daughter's going to get 15 16 licensed up to 50 kids, but it'll be more like 17 45, 48. There's a square footage requirement for 18 each kid. So this building footprint will 19 accommodate those children. So it may be a 20 little bit smaller. 21 So if you'll take a look the 22 building's in red with the covered canopy also in 23 red going to a semi-circle driveway. The 24 driveway of the property is two locations, the

east side and the west side. That driveway 1 2 should accommodate a school bus to pull up, and 3 then in blue you'll also see the south of the building. The blue will be both customer and 4 5 employee parking. And then the black will be 6 fenced-in area for play area and shown in green. 7 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Thank you. Are 8 there any questions from the Board for 9 Mr. Harris?

10 MR. CORZINE: Hi, Mr. Harris. Len 11 Corzine. Can you tell us about the fencing a 12 little bit that you'll be doing? Are you going 13 to -- it looks like in the drawing you're going 14 to lay back from the road just a little bit and how -- what you're going to have for a fence? 15 16 MR. HARRIS: Yeah, this is probably 17 the plan. Again this is just concept. We are 18 going to try and incorporate most of the back of 19 the property for a play area for the kids. 20 The fence, I haven't decided quite what kind of fence we'll put in. It will be 21 22 mandated by the state obviously. The state will 23 mandate certain -- certain things, so -- but yes, 24 I was going to come off the property line,

1 probably mirror the corners was my concept here. 2 MR. CORZINE: I might suggest the one 3 I'm involved with at Assumption, we are -- they wanted us to use a chain link fence, but also 4 5 we're going with the black rather than just 6 galvanized. Just looks a lot nicer. 7 MR. HARRIS: Yes. MR. CORZINE: I think the cost was 8 negligible. 9 MR. HARRIS: I was thinking kind of 10 like a wrought iron fence, but I don't know if 11 12 the state will actually approve that or not. 13 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: So are you also --14 you are anticipating that the children will be picked up by a school bus? 15 16 MR. HARRIS: That's my understanding, 17 yes, that the school will accommodate that. 18 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Any other questions 19 from the Board? 20 Okay. Thank you, Mr. Harris. 21 MR. HARRIS: Thank you. 22 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: All right. 23 Mr. Frye could not be in attendance today, 24 however, he did let Mr. Tarr know that any

1 vehicle weighing 30,000 pounds or less can 2 legally cross the existing bridge. Most school 3 buses would fall under this as well as ambulance. 4 There's also full access from the other direction, but it would be a block farther for a 5 6 fire truck. 7 He also stated that this bridge is set for replacement no later than June 2025. 8 9 Okay. Next up is there a 10 representative from the City of Morrisonville? 11 MR. TOLLIVER: Yes. 12 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Please come 13 forward. MR. TOLLIVER: Mayor Larry Tolliver, 14 L-a-r-r-y T-o-l-l-i-v-e-r. 15 16 (Oath given.) 17 LARRY TOLLIVER, 18 having first been duly sworn, testifies as 19 follows: 20 MR. TOLLIVER: Do we want to talk about, yes, the bridge, of course. There was a 21 22 concern for construction. IDOT did approve the 23 replacement for that bridge seven years ago. So 24 we are waiting for costs of that as well, which

the village pays a certain percent, the county 1 2 pays a certain percent, as well as the township. 3 Also, the sidewalks at this time there is no set in stone of Morrisonville 4 replacing sidewalks in that area. We did -- we 5 actually removed two weeks ago or three weeks ago 6 7 a sidewalk across the street actually that was in 8 disrepair that the homeowner asked to be removed. 9 So it's not out of the question of 10 putting a sidewalk back in there, which I did 11 look at Taylorville, and here in Taylorville 12 there is three day cares, and none of the three 13 day cares have a sidewalk in front of their 14 properties. So I didn't know really where they would stand with the sidewalk project with that 15 16 so. 17 The width of the road I believe was 18 another thing. The width of the road is the same

19 as Morrisonville. All the roads in Morrisonville 20 are anywhere from 36 to 40 feet wide, not 21 necessarily they're all paved. That's including, 22 of course, you know, the ditches as well as 23 sidewalks in this area.

24 So we did do a meeting June -- I'm

1 sorry -- July 17 at 7 p.m., and the Village of 2 Morrisonville board approved, seven agree, one 3 absent, and one present to approve a day care on that West Second Street area somewhere around the 4 400, 500, 600 block. 5 6 Anything else? 7 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Any questions from 8 the Board? 9 The village didn't have any issues 10 with the baseball field nearby? 11 MR. TOLLIVER: No. No. 12 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Was there any 13 discussion about possibly making Second Street a 14 one way just to control traffic? 15 MR. TOLLIVER: No. 16 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Okay. All right. 17 Thank you very much. 18 MR. TOLLIVER: Uh-huh. Thank you. 19 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: I called the 20 assessor's office to discuss if there was any issue with property taxes or property values, and 21 22 they confirmed that there would be no change to 23 the homeowners' property value for taxes. 24 Any other questions or concerns from

1 the Board? 2 MR. COPENBARGER: None from me. CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: All right. Well, 3 4 then, if there's no other questions do we have a 5 motion? 6 MR. CORZINE: Len Corzine. I'll move 7 to approve. 8 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Len Corzine moved 9 to approve. MS. HOWARD: I'll second that. 10 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Any additional 11 12 discussion? 13 Roll call vote please. 14 MR. TARR: Jo Ann Howard. 15 MS. HOWARD: Abstain. I wasn't here. MR. TARR: Nichole Lanham. 16 17 MS. LANHAM: Yes. 18 MR. TARR: Glen Goodrich. MR. GOODRICH: Yes. 19 MR. TARR: Joe Dorr. 20 21 MR. DORR: Yes. MR. TARR: Len Corzine. 22 23 MR. CORZINE: Yes. 24 MR. TARR: Dave Copenbarger.

MR. COPENBARGER: Yes. 1 2 MR. TARR: Craig Berner. 3 MR. BERNER: Yes. MR. TARR: Chairman Adcock. 4 5 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Yes. 6 MR. TARR: Motion approved. Okay. 7 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Okay. Next item on 8 the agenda. 9 MR. DeJAYNES: Excuse me. So our concerns aren't going to be addressed today that 10 11 we had brought up at the last meeting? 12 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: So I believe we had actually highlighted all of the items, sir, and 13 14 then for the remaining items those would go 15 through the city council. 16 Okay. So moving on to the next item 17 of business, the Skyline Solar. There was a 18 deviation from the building permit that Mr. 19 Parks put on the agenda. 20 So as a reminder Skyline is a 21 community solar project located across from the 22 YMCA. They submitted building plans for review. 23 Christian County retained an outside engineering 24 firm to review those plans. Today Skyline is

1 here to present a potential amendment, a 2 deviation for those building plans. So is there 3 a representative from Skyline? Come forward, 4 please. 5 (Oath given.) 6 MATT PARKS, 7 having first been duly sworn, testifies as 8 follows: 9 MR. PARKS: My name is Matt Parks. I 10 am here representing the civil engineering firm 11 Manhard Consulting that was the civil engineer on 12 the project, and we have -- does everybody -- I'm sorry -- does everyone have an exhibit that it 13 14 looks like this or similar to? 15 Okay. So the only deviation that's being made as a part of this exhibit is the need 16 17 to look at an additional borrow area on-site, which is shown in the dash pattern or a dashed 18 hatch in the northern or northeastern part of the 19 20 site. 21 The main reason for this is some of 22 the soils that were found on-site during 23 construction that were being used for borrow, 24 specifically suitable clay, for the roadway were

1 found not to be suitable once construction

started. So this area has been tested by the
 contractor and found that this is suitable.

So I believe that there was some -- I 4 received some questions or we were forwarded some 5 questions from the county last week. It was 6 7 understood that this area or believed that this 8 area was to be a detention basin, which is not the case. This is strictly just a temporary area 9 10 that's being used to borrow clay on-site to use 11 for the roadways during construction.

12 So the process, which this would go 13 through during construction is there would be 14 topsoil that's stripped temporarily and then stockpiled on-site. The clay then would be 15 16 borrowed from that area, anywhere from 6 to 9 17 inches, and then taken and used for -- used 18 during construction, and immediately after that area is stripped from its place, the topsoil will 19 20 be placed back on and then the area will be backfilled to an existing condition to meet 21 22 exactly how it does today and operates today. 23 So there is no detention basin there. 24 It's strictly just a temporary area that's being

1 used for borrow.

2 The area will be stabilized, 3 maintained by the contractor during construction, and all -- again all existing drainage patterns 4 5 or storm water management patterns that are there today are or will be continued as such following 6 7 the borrow pit. 8 Yeah, so I guess at that point that is really the extent of what's being done. It 9 10 will be maintained again by the contractor during construction until it's fully stabilized such 11 12 that it is back to its original condition as it 13 is today. 14 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Any questions from 15 the Board? 16 Mr. Copenbarger. 17 MR. COPENBARGER: Dave Copenbarger. 18 So there's only 6 -- how much topsoil are you removing? What's the depth? 19 20 MR. PARKS: The existing depth, it 21 varies somewhere between 6 to 12 inches of 22 topsoil. 23 MR. COPENBARGER: So you remove 6 to 24 12 inches and then you hit clay?

1 MR. PARKS: Uh-huh. 2 MR. COPENBARGER: You're going to 3 excavate 6 to 8 inches of clay; is that what you're saying? 4 5 MR. PARKS: Correct. 6 MR. COPENBARGER: And then you're 7 going to build -- make a road out of that? 8 MR. PARKS: Yes, the proposed access 9 roads that are on-site will be -- that's what the 10 clay will be used for. 11 MR. COPENBARGER: Okay. So one 12 elevation thing I saw it looked like the road was 13 3 feet higher than the -- than the area of the 14 borrow pit. 15 MR. PARKS: Okay. 16 MR. COPENBARGER: So how -- so you're 17 going to get enough material in that footprint of 18 your -- whatever you want to call it, I'll call it borrow pit -- to build up that extra 3 feet; 19 20 is that the plan? 21 Yeah. Where it's being specifically Α. 22 used on-site I'm not entirely sure, but it's 23 being used for the road. So maybe for that 24 specific area might be further down south where

1 the equipment pads are located, but it's being 2 used for -- for those areas specifically, yes. 3 MR. COPENBARGER: So where -- so then 4 you're going to bring in material to replace what 5 you took out?

6 MR. PARKS: It'll be topsoil that was 7 already there. So that will be stripped and then 8 placed back in, and then there's some culverts on-site. Those will be adjusted down accordingly 9 10 such that there's no -- there's positive -- I'm 11 sorry -- there is positive drainage coming out of 12 the site. There's no impact to the topsoil. 13 MR. COPENBARGER: So there's 14 additional topsoil you got stripped off and you've got it piled up, is that what you're 15 saying? Not the topsoil you're stripping out, I 16 17 guess. 18 MR. PARKS: There is some but not --19 not --20 MR. COPENBARGER: So I guess I don't understand how you're going to -- you're 21 22 stripping off 6 to 12 inches. You're taking out

23 a foot -- I don't know. What are you taking out? 24 Don't know?

1 MR. PARKS: 6 to 9 inches of clay. 2 MR. COPENBARGER: So how are you 3 going to be back at the same elevation where 4 you're -- I don't understand how you're going to 5 be at the same elevation if you're taking 6 6 inches out.

7 MR. PARKS: There will be a slight 8 drop to the grade. The drainage patterns themselves will be the exact same as they are 9 10 today. So the culverts where the water's going 11 today, those will be lowered down. They're 12 flexible to lower those down such as there's 13 positive drainage that comes out to account for 14 that drop.

MR. COPENBARGER: So you are going to change -- you will be changing the profile of the finished grade from what it is now?

18 MR. PARKS: It will slightly drop, 19 yes. It will -- to account for that clay that's 20 being taken out. Yes, it will slightly drop, but 21 in doing so, those culverts will come down such 22 as to not impact the drainage. It still goes to 23 the exact locations that it does today. 24 MR. COPENBARGER: So the culverts

1 you're talking about under your new proposal goes out to Route 48, and then the other one goes over 2 3 to the township road? MR. PARKS: They go to IDOT 4 5 right-of-way right now. 6 MR. COPENBARGER: Everything else? 7 MR. PARKS: Yeah, in that area 8 specifically, yes. 9 MR. COPENBARGER: Because the 10 drainage goes that way? 11 MR. PARKS: Yes. 12 MR. COPENBARGER: Okay. 13 MR. PARKS: All the -- yeah, all the 14 drainage patterns will be maintained as they are 15 today. 16 MR. COPENBARGER: Okay. 17 MR. CORZINE: Len Corzine. I've got a couple questions too, Matt, around -- kind of 18 along what Dave was saying. You're going to 19 20 lower that -- I just drove by it. You may get 21 that lowered than the IDOT ditches, and then 22 you're going to have an issue of you trying to 23 get them to dig their ditch along Route 48 24 deeper. I don't -- I can see a big problem there

1 with some -- with how that's all going to work 2 because right now your -- I'll call it the north 3 or the northeast culvert -- the outlet is higher 4 than the inlet. I didn't know if you know that. 5 So it's good you'll be changing it, right? 6 MR. PARKS: Yes. 7 MR. CORZINE: Have you taken a look 8 at that? 9 MR. PARKS: This is the first I've 10 been told that the outflow was higher than the 11 inflow, but yes, I -- if that is the case, then 12 we will take a look at it certainly, but to 13 answer your question, yes, there will be about a 14 6 inch net drop, and then we will adjust the culvert on the outflow site. There is 15 flexibility to drop that down. 16 17 MR. CORZINE: But you're going to be lowering 6 to 8 inches at the end of the -- when 18 everything is finished, you'll be lowered by 6 to 19 20 8 inches than what it is currently. The -- what we'll call for lack of a better term the area 21 22 that we're calling the borrow pit because you're 23 removing that much clay, right? 24 MR. PARKS: Yes, there will be a net

drop on that, and then yes, you're correct, about a 6-inch drop in that borrow pit area, and then we would adjust the culverts accordingly such that we maintain the ditch line and that there's a positive outflow from that ditch line and where the borrow pit is at so that's flowing positively through.

8 MR. CORZINE: So the issue still 9 remains though where you -- when it's finished. 10 Have you surveyed to see if the bottom of the 11 ditch is low enough and can you -- will IDOT let 12 you extend your culvert through the ditch back 13 into the IDOT ditch?

14 MR. PARKS: To answer your second question on the IDOT, yes. We have the permit 15 16 for that. And then to answer your first 17 question, we have not done a supplemental survey 18 for that area. We're using the existing survey, yes, we have the flexibility to drop that 19 20 accordingly. 21 MR. CORZINE: So the initial survey, 22 did it show that you can lower that borrow pit 23 area and still drain?

24 MR. PARKS: Sorry. Repeat the

1 question.

2 MR. CORZINE: Did the initial survey 3 then show that from the bottom of the ditch --MR. PARKS: Uh-huh. 4 5 MR. CORZINE: -- to where you're going to end up with the borrow pit that it will 6 still drain? 7 MR. PARKS: Yes. Based on the 8 initial survey, yes, and the information that we 9 10 were provided. 11 MR. CORZINE: Looks pretty close. 12 MR. PARKS: It's -- yes, I 13 understand, and yeah, we will -- we have double 14 checked, and we'll confirm that it will still have positive drainage coming out of that. 15 16 MR. CORZINE: What keeps you from 17 just getting your clay from West and Companies 18 just a few miles away? 19 MR. PARKS: There are best 20 construction methods to keep everything on-site, native soil and clay, from a construction 21 22 operation standpoint. That has been the route 23 that we would prefer to go for this to keep 24 everything on-site.

1 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Construction 2 practices where? 3 MR. PARKS: Just the means and 4 methods of construction in general. It's best to just -- if you're on-site rather than hauling in, 5 it's always better to just use what's on-site if 6 it's suitable material that can be used for this 7 8 application. 9 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: So this is across 10 the country? In the State of Illinois? 11 MR. PARKS: It's just general 12 practice, yeah, across the country. CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: And do these 13 14 construction practices ever take into account essential drainage concerns in certain 15 16 jurisdictions versus others? 17 MR. PARKS: Yeah, there's drainage 18 law and what we have to follow. So it's 19 maintaining the existing drainage patterns that 20 the -- as it would be today in proposed 21 condition. 22 MR. CORZINE: Len Corzine again. 23 Matt, we do business with the elevator there a 24 lot so I was going by there a lot when you were

1 building the dike on the south end.

2	MR. PARKS: Okay.
3	MR. CORZINE: You moved quite a bit
4	of dirt there. Where did you get that?
5	MR. PARKS: On the south end.
6	MR. CORZINE: South end?
7	MR. PARKS: I'm sorry. I'm not with
8	the general contractor. I wouldn't be able to
9	speak as to where that came from. I we do
10	have someone from the general contractor that
11	will be may be able to speak. Otherwise we
12	would follow up, but I do not have an answer for
13	that.
14	MR. CORZINE: That would be good to
15	know because there was a lot that was moved to
16	build that. And then there was concern with
17	you're changing it from a nonpoint source as far
18	as the drainage where it just runs off to a point
19	source because it's coming through a pipe.
20	MR. PARKS: Right.
21	MR. CORZINE: Which can be a big
22	it can be problematic.
23	MR. PARKS: Sure.
24	MR. CORZINE: Because there are

changes in drainage when you do that, and you'd 1 2 kind of be doing the same thing with this. This 3 road is going to be permanent? MR. PARKS: This road will be 4 5 permanent, yes. 6 MR. CORZINE: Now, by your picture 7 why are you not -- is there a particular reason 8 why you sort of cut your roadway through the middle of the project, but is that the end of the 9 10 panels. I wasn't on the ZBA when this was first 11 approved. 12 MR. PARKS: So this was part of the 13 revised and approved special use permit was to 14 have that access road routed as it's shown today in the proposed plan. 15 16 MR. CORZINE: Will there be panels 17 west of that? 18 MR. PARKS: So it would be south of this depth right here. So it ends just south of 19 20 where this borrow pit location is at, and then it 21 goes to about -- I don't have the exact 22 dimension, but about 75 to 100 feet off of 23 Glenhill Road. MR. CORZINE: Yeah, I'm over on 48. 24

1 Between -- on the other photo here? 2 MR. PARKS: Uh-huh. 3 MR. CORZINE: Culvert. What's going to be there? 4 5 MR. PARKS: There will be -- yes, there will be solar panels on the left side of 6 7 that road -- on the west side of it. MR. CORZINE: Okay. How far -- will 8 you be building up the road all the way through 9 10 there? 11 MR. PARKS: It will go all the way 12 down, and then there's a point of interconnection in the southwest corner. So it then goes to the 13 14 west from there. 15 MR. CORZINE: Are you going to have 16 to put drainage pipes along the way in several 17 places? 18 MR. PARKS: There are proposed 19 culverts in those locations, yes. 20 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: So how many 21 proposed culverts are there then? 22 MR. PARKS: There are no additional 23 ones. I don't have the total, but where we're 24 looking near the borrow pit there's two, and then I believe as you move south again on the plans in
 front of me that show the remainder of it. I
 believe there's two to three more that are along
 there.

5 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: That's not on this6 diagram, is it?

7 MR. PARKS: That is correct, yes. 8 That's part of the final engineering plans that 9 were not part of this discussion. So I do not 10 have a print of them.

11 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Blake handed the 12 board out a packet today that I'm going to ask 13 questions on. On June 26 Danny Anderson sent an 14 email to Blake saying existing concerns are 15 potential erosion issues with watershed on this 16 piece of property creating pollution and sediment 17 in nearby streams or waterways.

18 So how would we rectify that from 19 last month?

20 MR. PARKS: So as part of the 21 proposed engineering plans there was a soil 22 erosion and sediment control plan, and it did 23 take into effect this entire area. So there are 24 no modifications that need to be made for this. It is just going to be maintaining what was
 provided in the SWPPP or Storm Water Pollution
 Prevention Plan. It will be maintained on-site.
 Use a silt fence, culvert protection, and
 sediment blankets will be provided as part of
 that.

7 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Okay. Four pages 8 in, there is an email from Ms. Kim Tribbet, and 9 it says: Danny, thank you for contacting IDOT 10 concerning the removing and replacing 6 inches of 11 topsoil of the right-of-way.

Based on what you proposed a bit ago you actually are not going to be replacing or backfilling 6 inches. You're going to have a net fall of 6 inches?

MR. PARKS: Right, which is what we
were discussing earlier.

18 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: And she said any 19 changes to the drainage without approval will 20 void permit 6-36043. So can you please explain 21 what that permit is?

22 MR. PARKS: I believe she is 23 referring to the IDOT drainage plan, but I was 24 not forwarded that email. So I'd have to look into it. She may be referring to the IDOT
 drainage plan itself.

3 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: So the two culverts, the one going north and the one going 4 5 west, actually has already been laid, correct? 6 MR. PARKS: That is correct. 7 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: And then you're going to have three more. So you're saying that 8 the two to the north and to the west you're going 9 10 to lower that 6 inches even though you already 11 installed them? 12 MR. PARKS: That is correct. 13 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: There must be some 14 kind of outflow that you're expecting from this 15 culvert? 16 MR. PARKS: Yes, that's why -- yes, 17 those are taking drainage from the southern part 18 of the property to IDOT's right of way. 19 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: And what are those 20 flow rates that you're anticipating? 21 MR. PARKS: I don't have that -- the 22 calculations on hand. I could follow up with 23 those if needed. 24 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Are there any other

1 questions from the Board?

2 MR. CORZINE: A comment, Matt. It 3 looked to me when I drive by it and also looking at your topography, which is helpful, where --4 5 especially where the north culvert is now getting into the IDOT ditch, that water may be going 6 northwest instead of southeast? 7 MR. PARKS: (Nods.) 8 9 MR. CORZINE: Have you checked that 10 road culvert on Glenhill, is it? 11 MR. PARKS: Uh-huh. MR. CORZINE: Glenhill Road because 12 13 that can be an issue as well. 14 MR. PARKS: Are you -- could you clarify? You're referring to the proposed 15 16 culvert or the existing one that was already 17 there prior to construction? 18 MR. CORZINE: I'm talking about the road culvert -- the IDOT going into Route 48? 19 20 MR. PARKS: This one right here? The 21 northeast corner? 22 MR. CORZINE: Yes. 23 MR. PARKS: So --24 MR. CORZINE: Northwest -- I'm sorry.

1 Northwest -- northwest corner. Right?

2 MR. PARKS: It's at the -- basically 3 at the intersection of Route 48 and Glenhill? MR. CORZINE: Yes. 4 5 MR. PARKS: Yeah. So just to clarify or make sure I'm understanding your question. 6 7 Are you saying that there's an issue there with the outflow versus the inflow? Is it that ditch? 8 MR. CORZINE: Well, I'm glad to hear 9 10 you're going to -- your plan is to change it 11 because that -- the culvert you put in is 12 supposed to flow that way and this side is higher 13 than this side. So that doesn't work. 14 MR. PARKS: Okay. We'll have to get field verification on that. I haven't been out 15 16 in the field. 17 MR. CORZINE: The concern is that --I'm on a couple drainage commissions --18 19 MR. PARKS: Sure. 20 MR. CORZINE: -- and we have issues with road ditches and culverts under roads. If 21 22 they aren't cleaned out or if they aren't low 23 enough, it really limits -- you may have a really 24 good plan to get the water off of the field or

off the borrow pit, but it isn't going to go 1 2 there unless it's got a place to go. 3 MR. PARKS: Sure. MR. CORZINE: So I would think we 4 would need to see a survey of where that culvert 5 6 is and then also the road ditch. 7 MR. PARKS: Sure. Yeah. That -- I believe we could get with the contractor to 8 provide a survey of what those inverts are and 9 10 see if there's positive drainage coming out of 11 those. Per proposed plan there should be 12 positive drainage out of it towards IDOT 13 right-of-way. So we would have to confirm that. 14 MR. CORZINE: And the more you lower that -- if you lowered that borrow pit area, 15 that's going to be make it more severe? 16 17 MR. PARKS: Right. There -- I believe there's flexibility to bring the culvert 18 up on the inflow side. It is sloping down 19 20 slightly. So we could potentially bring it up with the 6-inch drop as well. We'll have to 21 22 confirm just based on the information you had 23 mentioned. I don't have that information, but 24 that's still information on hand. So I would

1 need to check that.

2 MR. COPENBARGER: Dave Copenbarger. 3 I think -- I understand what Len's saying. I agree. It seems like to me -- and I can't read 4 any of this stuff. Maybe you have better eyes. 5 I can't read the elevations. Wouldn't we need --6 7 you know what you got now. That's been shot out there. You know what the elevations are in that 8 section where you're going to remove the 9 10 material. You know which way the drainage is 11 going now, correct? 12 MR. PARKS: Correct. 13 MR. COPENBARGER: You know which way 14 it's flowing? 15 MR. PARKS: Correct. 16 MR. COPENBARGER: So why don't we 17 have a plan showing after you cut that what it's 18 going to be like and where that drainage is 19 going? 20 MR. PARKS: That is the intent of 21 this. We can add any elevations of the proposed 22 culvert --23 MR. COPENBARGER: The intent of what? 24 I don't know what you're talking about?

MR. PARKS: On this exhibit. 1 2 MR. COPENBARGER: I've got like eight 3 exhibits. This thing here? MR. PARKS: Yes. 4 5 MR. COPENBARGER: I can't read any of that. So the -- I mean you can't read the -- am 6 I wrong? Don't we need something like that --7 8 MR. CORZINE: Yes. 9 MR. COPENBARGER: -- showing before and after? What it is now and I assume it's 10 working. Then what they're going to do. You 11 12 need the elevation of the ditch. You need to 13 show the invert and the out -- you need to show 14 the two proposed culverts in and out elevations in relation to the ditch and in relation to the 15 16 borrow pit. 17 MR. PARKS: Sure. 18 MR. COPENBARGER: I mean that's 19 pretty straightforward. 20 MR. PARKS: Yeah, we can add that 21 information. 22 MR. COPENBARGER: Okay. And then we 23 would know what's going to happen. If it's all 24 changed, then that's kind of a problem.

MR. CORZINE: Yeah, you could -- you 1 2 could end up with a mess. You'll end up with a 3 retention pond whether you want to have one or 4 not. MR. COPENBARGER: Right. Yeah. 5 6 MR. PARKS: Sure. 7 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: And then it's going to make Ms. Tribbet's permit void. So there are 8 9 issues with IDOT. 10 MR. DORR: Exactly. 11 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Any other 12 questions? 13 MR. COPENBARGER: I guess, yeah, they 14 need -- I mean they should review -- they should have privy to that information too to know if 15 16 additional water is getting dumped on them, which 17 may not be a problem at all but it might be, but 18 you're right. 19 MR. DORR: Yeah, we should probably 20 get clarification on that from her or him. Is it 21 a him? Tribbet. 22 MR. PARKS: One thing I'll just 23 quickly clarify on that is the exact same 24 tributary areas will be going to that location.

1 So it's a matter of just looking at it flow. Ιt 2 will be the same. The ground cover isn't 3 changing. Anything that would be associated to that calculation wouldn't be changing when it 4 comes to the flow. 5 6 MR. COPENBARGER: Okay. 7 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Any additional 8 questions for Mr. Parks? 9 Thank you. All right. Did you want to provide 10 11 any additional information, sir? 12 MR. RIPKEY: I think it was all 13 covered. 14 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: All right. So we'll have testimony closed. Are there any 15 16 public comments? 17 Seeing none, is there any discussion 18 from the Board? Any follow-up questions? 19 MR. COPENBARGER: Dave Copenbarger. 20 So we're going to ask them for the additional 21 information we talked about and table it? Is 22 that what you're thinking? 23 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: I think it's 24 prudent given if it concerns 48 that we go

through the list of items that we discussed are 1 missing, I think. We need to have the third 2 3 party engineering firm confirm it, and then it all submitted to IDOT for final approval to 4 5 ensure that everything is correct. 6 Similar to last month, we had to have 7 a continuation to get further information. 8 MR. DORR: I agree. 9 MR. CORZINE: Do we need a motion --10 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: We'll ask for a 11 motion --12 MR. CORZINE: -- for that or to table 13 it until pending this -- more information or --14 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: We will need a motion to continue because these are our 15 concerns, and then we'll have to have a third 16 17 party engineer review it at the expense of the 18 applicant plus final IDOT approval. 19 MR. CORZINE: Okay. 20 MS. HOWARD: I'll make that motion. 21 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Okay. Motion by 22 Ms. Howard. Second? MR. COPENBARGER: I second. 23 CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Second by Dave 24

1	Copenbarger. Roll call vote, please.
2	MR. TARR: Glen Goodrich.
3	MR. GOODRICH: Yes.
4	MR. TARR: Joe Dorr.
5	MR. DORR: Yes.
6	MR. TARR: Lynn Corzine.
7	MR. CORZINE: Yes.
8	MR. TARR: Dave Copenbarger.
9	MR. COPENBARGER: Yes.
10	MR. TARR: Craig Berner.
11	MR. BERNER: Yes.
12	MR. TARR: Nichole Lanham.
13	MS. LANHAM: Yes.
14	MR. TARR: And Chairman Adcock.
15	CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Yes.
16	MR. TARR: It's approved.
17	CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: All right. Is
18	there a motion to adjourn?
19	MR. DORR: I'll make a motion to
20	adjourn.
21	MR. COPENBARGER: Second.
22	CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Second. All those
23	in favor?
24	ZBA BOARD MEMBERS: Aye.

1	CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: All those opposed.
2	(No response.)
3	CHAIRMAN ADCOCK: Meeting adjourned.
4	(Which were all of the proceedings
5	had on this meeting as of this date.)
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	

1 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 2 STATE OF ILLINOIS)) 3 COUNTY OF SANGAMON) I, Rhonda Rhodes Bentley, CSR, 4 License No. 084-002706, a Certified Shorthand 5 6 Reporter, within and for the State of Illinois, do hereby certify that the meeting aforementioned 7 was held on the 29th day of July, 2024, and that 8 9 said meeting was taken down in stenographic notes, afterwards reduced to typewriting by me, 10 11 and that this transcript is a true and accurate 12 transcription of the testimony. 13 14 IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand this 15th day of August 2024 at 15 Divernon, Illinois. 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Certified Shorthand Reporter 23 CSR #084-002706 24